

Extraordinary Circumstances Documentation Finger Mountain Right-of Way Renewal

**Prepared by
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management**

,

This page intentionally
left blank

Table of Contents

1. Categorical Exclusion Rationale	1
---	----------

This page intentionally
left blank

Chapter 1. Categorical Exclusion Rationale

This page intentionally
left blank

CX Number:	DOI-BLM-AK-F030-2014-0053-CX
Date:	2 Oct 2014
Lease/Case File/ Serial Number:	FF 088199
Regulatory Authority (CFR or Law):	43 CFR 289500

The following block would be inside instruction tags and not be printed or visible in the final document

NEPA Guidance: Answers to the Extraordinary Circumstances questions below will affect the level of NEPA required for this project. If any of the extraordinary circumstances are applicable to the action being considered, either an EA or EIS must be prepared for the action.

Section 1.1 Impacts on Public Health and Safety

1. Does the proposed action have significant impacts on public health and safety?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Tyler Cole, Realty Specialist (For All Team Members)	T. C. 2 OCT 2014

Rationale:

Section 1.2 Impacts on Natural Resources or Unique Geographic Characteristics

2. Does the proposed action have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness or wilderness study areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds (Executive Order 13186); and other ecologically significant or critical areas?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Erin Julianus, Wildlife Biologist	EJ 10/10/2014
	X	Kelly Egger, Outdoor Recreation Planner	KE 10/6/14
	X	David Esse, Fisheries Biologist	D.A.E 5 Oct 2015
	X	Karen Deatherage, Park Ranger	KD 10/6/2014
	X	William Hedman, Archaeologist	WH 10/20/2014
	X	Tyler Cole Realty Specialist	T.C. 2 Oct 2014

Rationale:

Section 1.3 Level of Controversy

3. Does the proposed action have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Erin Julianus, Wildlife Biologist	EJ 10/10/2014
	X	Kelly Egger, Outdoor Rec Planner	KE 10/6/14
	X	David Esse, Fisheries Biologist	D.A.E 5 Oct 2015
	X	Karen Deatherage, Park Ranger	KD 10/6/2014
	X	William Hedman, Archaeologist	WH 10/20/2014
	X	Tyler Cole Realty Specialist	T.C. 2 Oct 2014

Rationale:

Section 1.4 Highly Uncertain or Unique or Unknown Environmental Risks

4. Does the proposed action have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Tyler Cole , Realty Specialist (For All Team Members)	T.C. 2 Oct 2014

Rationale:

Section 1.5 Precedent Setting

5. Does the proposed action establish a precedent for future action, or represent a decision in principle about future actions, with potentially significant environmental effects?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Erin Julianus, Wildlife Biologist	EJ 10/10/2014
10/10/2014	X	Kelly Egger, Outdoor Rec Planner	KE 10/6/14
	X	David Esse, Fisheries Biologist	D.A.E 5 Oct 2014
	X	Karen Deatherage, Park Ranger	KD 10/6/2014
	X	Jennifer McMillan, Ecologist	JM 10/6/2014
	X	Tyler Cole Realty Specialist	T. C. 2 OCT 2014

Rationale:

Section 1.6 Cumulatively Significant Effects

6. Does the proposed action have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Tyler Cole , Realty Specialist (For All Team Members)	TC 2 OCT 2014

Rationale:

Section 1.7 Impacts on Cultural Properties

7. Does the proposed action have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the Bureau or office?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	William Hedman, Archaeologist	WH 10/20/2014

Rationale:

Section 1.8 Impacts on Federally Listed Species or Critical Habitat

8. Does the proposed action have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Jennifer McMillan	JM 10/6/2014

Rationale:

Section 1.9 Compliance With Laws

9. Does the proposed action violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Tyler Cole, Realty Specialist (For All Team Members)	TC 2 OCT 2014

Rationale:

Section 1.10 Environmental Justice

10. Does the proposed action have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898)?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Tyler Cole, Realty Specialist (For All Team Members)	TC 2 OCT 2014

Rationale:

Section 1.11 Indian Sacred Sites

10. Does the proposed action have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898)?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Tyler Cole, Realty Specialist (For All Team Members)	T.C. 2 OCT 2014

Rationale:

Section 1.12 Noxious and Non-Native Invasive Species

Rationale:

Section 1.2 Preparer Information

12. Does the proposed action contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area, or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Jennifer McMillan	JM 10/6/2014

Tyler Cole

PREPARER/TITLE

Natural Resource Specialist, Central Yukon Field Office

TITLE

10/23/2014
DATE